

P.B.5818 - Patentlaan 2 2280 HV Rijswijk (ZH) 2 +31 70 340 2040 TX 31651 epo nl FAX +31 70 340 3016 Europäisches Patentamt

Zweigstelle in Den Haag Recherchenabteilung European Patent Office

Branch at The Hague Search division Office européen des brevets

Département à La Haye Division de la recherche

HOFFMANN - EITLE
Patent- und Rechtsanwälte
Arabellastrasse 4
81925 München
ALLEMAGNE

Datum/Date 12.02.03

Zeichen/Ref./Réf.

90 785 a/fi

Anmeldung Nr./Application No./Demande nº./Patent Nr./Patent No./Brevet

00931693.6-2114-JP0003632

Anmelder/Applicant/Demandeur/Patentinhaber/Proprietor/Titulaire

Kao Corporation

# COMMUNICATION

The European Patent Office herewith transmits as an enclosure the European search report for the above—mentioned European patent application.

If applicable, copies of the documents cited in the European search report are attached.

Additional set(s) of copies of the documents cited in the European search report is (are) enclosed as well.

# REFUND OF THE SEARCH FEE

If applicable under Article 10 Rules relating to fees, a separate communication from the Receiving Section on the refund of the search fee will be sent later.





# SUPPLEMENTARY **EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT**

**Application Number** EP 00 93 1693

<del></del>	······································	DERED TO BE RELEVANT	<del></del>	
Category	Citation of document with of relevant pas	indication, where appropriate, sages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.CI.7)
X	ANTIOXIDANTS AND STACTIVITY OF PLANT I JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN (CHAMPAIGN, US,	EXTRACTS IN LARD" RICAN OIL CHEMISTS' OIL CHEMISTS' SOCIETY. The 1992 (1992-06), pages 144  2, paragraph 2 -	1	C11C3/00 A23D9/00 C09K15/34 A23D9/007 A23D9/013 C11B5/00
P,X	EP 0 999 259 A (HOP 10 May 2000 (2000-0 * page 12, line 16 1,6,7; examples 3,4	05-10) - line 25; claims	1	
	UK	dants on Losses of Deep-Fat Frying" es 175-177, XP002229174 2, last paragraph -	1,5	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.CI.7) A23D C09K C11B
	The supplementary search reposet of claims valid and available	at the start of the search.  Date of completion of the search		- Fire in the second se
ĺ	MUNICH	29 January 2003	M., 1.7	Examiner
	TEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS	T : theory or principle	underlying the in	er, I
Y : partic docur A : techn O : non-	cularly relevant if taken alone cularly relevant if combined with anot ment of the same category cological background written disclosure nediate document	E : earlier patent docu after the filing date her D : document cited in L : document cited for 	the application other reasons	-

# ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 00 93 1693

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above–mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

	Patent docume cited in search re		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
ΕP	0999259	A .	10-05-2000	EP AU BR CN JP KR NO NZ	0999259 A1 5824799 A 9905144 A 1262875 A 2000144168 A 2000035183 A 995352 A 500703 A	10-05-2000 11-05-2000 20-03-2001 16-08-2000 26-05-2000 26-06-2000 05-05-2000 29-06-2001
						•

#### **Europäisches Patentamt**

**European Patent Office** 

Office européen des brevets



(11) EP 0 999 259 A1

(12)

(19)

# **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication: 10.05.2000 Bulletin 2000/19

(21) Application number: 99121655.7

(22) Date of filing: 02.11.1999

(51) Int. Cl.<sup>7</sup>: **C11B 3/10**, C11B 3/14, C11B 5/00, A23D 9/00, G01N 33/03

(84) Designated Contracting States:

AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC NL PT SE
Designated Extension States:

AL LT LV MK RO SI

(30) Priority: **04.11.1998 EP 98120888 17.09.1999 EP 99118426** 

(71) Applicant: F. HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE AG 4070 Basel (CH) (72) Inventors:

 Kendrick, Andrew Ilkeston, Derbyshire DE7 9JJ (GB)

 Macfarlane, Neil Elloughton, North Humberside HU15 1HP (GB)

(74) Representative:

Kellenberger, Marcus, Dr. et al F.Hoffmann-La Roche AG Patent Department (PLP), 124 Grenzacherstrasse 4070 Basel (CH)

#### (54) Preparation of food-grade edible oils

(57) Marine oil is stabilized by treatment with silica in the presence or absence of carbon, vacuum steam deodorisation at a temperature between about 140° C and about 210° C in the presence of 0.1-0.4% deodorised rosemary or sage extract. If desired 0.01-0.03% ascorbyl palmitate and 0.05-0.2% mixed tocopherol can be added.

#### Description

10

15

25

30

[0001] The present invention relates to the preparation and stabilisation of food-grade marine oils.

[0002] Marine oils have attracted substantial interest as a source of n-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCPUFA), particularly eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docohexaenoic acid (DHA), which are of dietary significance. These LCPUFA contain 5 or 6 double bonds which render them prone to atmospheric oxidation accompagnied by a fishy taste and smell. The increasing interest in LCPUFA has prompted a research into methods of stabilizing fish oils against oxidation and off-flavor development.

[0003] It has been known for a long time that refined marine oils are initially free from a taste and smell of fish but that reversion through oxidation occurs rapidly. Many attempts have been made to stabilize the oils by the addition of different anti-oxidants or mixtures thereof. However, all these attempts failed so far, cf. R.J. Hamilton et al., Journal of American Oil and Chemist's Society (JAOCS), Vol. 75, no. 7, p. 813-822, (1998). Accordingly, there has been and still is a need for a process by means of which such marine oils can be stabilized over a long period of time in a simple and economical way whereby even after a long period of storage no fishy taste and smell occur.

[0004] Refined marine oil which has been treated with silica and stabilised with a mixture of lecithin, ascorbyl palmitate and alpha tocopherol in accordance with the procedure described in European Patent Publication 612 346 shows excellent rancimat stability and good application performance mainly for health food supplements. In dairy applications such as yoghurts and milk drinks, however, this oil develops a strong fish smell and taste.

[0005] Refined marine oil which has been treated with an adsorbent such as silica and stabilised with 0.1% deodorised rosemary extract (HERBALOX "O", Kalsec, Incorporated of Kalamazoo, Michigan) and, respectively, sage extract in accordance with and, respectively, an analogous manner to the procedure described in European Patent Publication 340 635 has a herby taste and smell which can be detected in food applications. This herby taste and smell supresses the taste and smell of fish. In dairy applications, the use of as little as 0.03% of HERBALOX "O" and, respectively, sage extract in the marine oil results in a very strong herby taste and smell which prevents the use of this oil in these applications.

[0006] It has now surprisingly been found in accordance with the present invention that marine oil which has been treated with silica in accordance with the procedure described in European Patent Publication 612 346 can be stabilized over a long period of time without the occurrence of fishy taste and smell by vacuum steam deodorization at a temperature between about 140° C and about 210° C in the presence of 0.1-0.4% of deodorised rosmary or sage extract.

[0007] The fully refined marine oil used in the present invention is one which has been neutralised, bleached and deodorised in a conventional manner. The oil can be, for example, menhaden oil, herring oil, sardine oil, anchovy oil, pilchard oil, tuna oil, hake oil etc. or a blend of one or more of these oils.

[0008] Factors associated with or even responsible for the fishy taste and smell of a marine oil are not-well defined. In order to get more information which factors are responsible for the fishy taste and smell, 21 oil samples were analyzed in detail as shown and discussed below. Samples 1-10 used in these analytical proceedings are commercially available standard fish oils from suppliers throughout the world which are regarded as "aged" because of the delays in refining them once more in accordance with the procedure described in European Patent Publication 612 346, whereas samples 11-15 are refined fish oils where it is known that both the extraction and refining have been done immediately after the fish have been caught or with mimimum delay only. Samples 16-17 are oils of fungal origin. Samples 18-21 have been produced from commercially available fish oils in accordance with the procedure described in European Patent Publication 612 346 in which, however, a special short path distillation step has been included at the start of the process to trap smell molecules for use as described below. The purpose to this wide trawl is to have as representative a range as possible of refined oils containing EPA and DHA.

[0009] Table 1 records the influence of the acid value, the EPA and, respectively, DHA content, the color and the pro-oxidant iron and copper levels on sensory responses of a trained panel to the above described 21 oil samples.

[0010] The analysis for the determination of the EPA and DHA content and, respectively, the pro-oxidant iron and copper levels were performed according to analytical methods known in the art. For determining the acid value, i.e. the number of milligrams of potassium hydroxide required to neutralize the free fatty acids in 1 gram of oil, the oil sample is titrated with 0.1N aqueous potassium hydroxide solution using a 1% phenolphthalein indicator. The size of the sample was determined as folllows:

Expected acid value	Test sample (g)
>0.5	40
0.5 to 1	20

(continued)

Expected acid value	Test sample (g)
1 to 5	5
5 to 10	2.5
10 to 20	1
>20	0.5

10

The color is determined by means of a Lovibond tintometer Model E AF 900 by matching the color of light transmitted through a specified depth of oil to the color of the Ight originating from the same source, transmitted through standard color slides. The results are expressed in terms of the red (R), yellow(Y) and blue (B) units to obtain the match and the size of the cell used. Taste and smell are sensorically evaluated by a trained panel comprising 12-15 persons. The panelist are asked to rank the samples in terms of perceiption of fishy taste and smell. A hedonic scale of 1 to 5 is used to express the extent of fishiness in which 1 represents no fishy taste or smell, while 5 stands for a very strong fishy taste or smell. The samples are coded using a three-digit code and 10 - 15 ml are submitted to the panel in a plastic beaker at 22°C. The products are evaluated after processing and after 4 weeks and, respectively, 12 weeks storage at 22° C in aluminium containers.

Table 2 shows the effect of primary and secondary oxidation levels on the taste and smell of the same marine oils as in Table 1. Primary oxidation is measured as the peroxide value of the oils in milliequivalent (meq)/kg of oil. Secondary oxidation is measured in two ways: first by the reaction of unsaturated aldehydes in the oil with anisidine and by the reaction of alkanals, alkenals and alkadienals in the oil with N,N-dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine.

For determining the peroxide value the oil is treated in a solution of acetic acid and chloroform with a solution of iodide and subsequently the free iodine is titrated with a solution of sodium thiosulphate. The size of the sample was determined as follows:

30

35

Expected peroxide value	Test sample (g)
<1	10
1 to 5	2
5 to 10	1
>10	0.5

The p-anisidine value is defined as 100 times the absorbence measured at 350 nm in a 1 cm cell of a solution containing 1.0g of the oil in 100 ml of a mixture of hexane and a solution of p-anisidine in glacial acetic acid (0.025g/100 ml of glacial acetic acid). The size of the sample was determined as follows:

45

50

Expected p-anisidine value	Test sample (g)
0-5	5
5-10	3
10-20	2
20-30	1

55

The aldehyde values werde determined based on a method described by K. Miyashita et al., JAOCS, Vol. [0015]68 (1991), according to which N,N-dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine is reacted with aldehydes in the presence of acetic acid. The three aldehyde classes (alkanal, alkenal and alkadienal) are determined by visible absorption at 400, 460

and, respectively, 500 nm. The aldehyde values are expressed in mmole/kg.

[0016] Furthermore, the level of smell molecules in each of these oils has been measured by static headspace coupled to GC/MS. The oil to be measured (samples of 1g each) is crimp sealed into a headspace vial (22ml) in an nitrogen atmosphere and heated at 120° C for 15 minutes in a headspace autosampler. A measured volume of the headspace is automatically injected onto a GC/MS using a heated transfer line. The gas chromatograph is used to separate the molecules and the mass spectrometer is used to identify and quantify the separated molecules. The results obtained are shown in Table 3.

Table 1

	Acid Value	EPA	DHA	Colour	Copper	iron	Taste	Smell
		(%)	(%)		(ppb)	(ppb)		
Standard fish								
1	0.07	17.4	10,1	3.5R 23Y	13	39	2.3	0.7
2	0.06	18.8	9.1	1.1R 20Y	9	10	3.2	1.5
3	0.02	15.7	6.3	2.4R 24Y	6	16	2.8	1.2
4	0.04	11.6	12.1	2.6R 31Y	12	22	4.0	3.0
5	0.17	17.6	10.3	2.5R 20Y	17	24	2.1	0.8
6	0.08	16.9	11.7	3.1R30Y	31	29	2.8	1.6
7	0.04	6.7	27.7	1.6R 20Y	14	25	1.2	0.6
8	0.20	6.7	27.5	3.6R 32Y	37	9	1.2	0.5
9	0.04	6.6	27.3	1.5R 23Y	13	18	2.7	1.6
10	0.08	6.7	28.0	1.2R 31Y	12	12	3.6	2.0
Fresh fish								
11	0.32	6.9	13.0	0.8R 15Y	3	27	2.2	0.6
12	0.30	8.7	7.5	2.0R 25Y	7	24	2.4	0.8
13	0.20	11.8	13.3	1.6R 20Y	6	13	1.5	1.0
14	0.23	10.3	11.8	0.5R 5.4Y	8	26	2.6	1.3
15	0.23	8.6	12.6	1.5R 15Y	6	29	2.8	1.0
Single cell								
16	0.02	2.3	36.9	1.5R 32Y	7	10	1.7	0.9
17	0.77	0.4	31.0	1.2R 14Y	22	34	2.5	0.9
Standard fish distilled								-
18	0.2	18.0	10.5	2.2R 20Y	3	24	0.7	0.7
19	0.23	18.0	10.4	2.2R 20 Y	5	24	0.6	0.7
20	0.22	18.1	10.5	2.2R 23Y	8	30	0.6	0.6
21	0.19	17.9	10.4	2.3R 22Y	7	24	1.0	0.5

[0017] The above table shows that there is no correlation between the acid value, the EPA and, repectively DHA content, the color and pro-oxidant iron and copper levels and the taste and smell of these marine oils.

Table 2

Description	Primary oxidation	Second	ary oxida	ation			
	Peroxide value	p-Anisidine value	F	Ndehyde	S	Taste	Smell
Standard fish			Α	В	С		
1	0.4	19.8	2.41	0.3	0.9	2.3	0.7
2	0.5	12.62	1.46	0.15	0.54	3.2	1.5
3	0.8	8.7	0.54	0.08	0.34	2.8	1.2
4	0.7	15.31	1.87	0.29	0.68	4.0	3.0
5	0	3.77	0.6	0.09	0.17	2.1	0.8
6	0	4.24	1.02	0.11	0.23	2.8	1.6
7	0.4	8.24	1.94	0.24	0.66	1.2	0.8
8	0.4	6.81	1.09	0.15	0.35	1.2	0.5
9	0.5	6.81	1.06	0.14	0.32	2.7	1.6
10	2.1	9.42	0.97	0.18	0.36	3.6	2.0
Fresh fish							
11	0	0.46	0.35	0.04	0.03	2.2	0.6
12	0	1.58	2.6	0.05	0.06	2.4	0.8
13	0	1.17	0.08	0.03	0.04	1.5	1.0
14	0	1.19	0.16	0.02	0.04	2.6	1.3
15	0	0.6	0.09	0.02	0.03	2.8	1.0
Single cell							
16	4	6.58	1.13	0.26	0.3	1.7	0.9
17	0 .	1.45	0.45	0.03	0.04	2.5	0.9
Standard fish distilled							
18	O	6.12	0.9	0.14	0.27	0.7	0.7
19	0	4.96	0.85	0.12	0.25	0.6	0.7
20	0	4.84	0.83	0.12	0.25	0.6	0.6
21	0	5.04	0.76	0.12	0.24	1.0	0.5

A = alkanals,

[0018] The above results show again that these oxidation indicators are not capable of distinguishing oils with a good taste and smell from those with bad ones.

B = alkenals,

C = alkadienals

25

ູ 10

15

20

30

35

40

45

50

55

Table 3

Smell		0.7	1.5	1.2	3.0	9.0	1.6	9.0	0.5	1.6	2.0		9.0	9.0	0.1	1.3	1.0		0.0	6.0		0.7	0.7	9.0	6.5
Taste		2.3	3.2	2.8	0.4	2,1	2.8	1.2	1.2	2.7	3.6		2.2	2.4	1.5	2.6	2.8		1.7	2.6		0.7	9.6	9.0	1.0
Heptadienal		191	757	701	<500	<500	<500	<500	<500	009>	1649		<500	<500	<500	<600	<500		<500	<500		<500	764	764	833
Octenal		<940	<940	<940	0)6>	<040	070	<940	<940	076>	<940		<940	<940	<940	<940	<940		<940	0 <del>0</del> 0		OV6>	<b>340</b>	<940	<940
Hexadienal		096>	<960	096>	096>	096>	090>	1400	096>	096>	096>		<960	096>	096>	096>	096>		096>	096>		-096	096>	096>	096>
Nonanal		<910	<910	c910	016>	6930	0105	6310	<910	<910	<910		<910	<910	<910	6910	910		016>	910		<910	<910	<910	<910
Heptenal		<490	<490	<490	<490	<490	<490	1340.0	<490	<490	<490		<490	<490	<490	<490	06 <del>0</del> >		<490	<490		<490	<490	<490	<490
Octanal		<470	<470	<470	<470	<470	<470	<470	<470	e470	<470		<470	<470	<470	<470	<470		<470	<470		<470	<470	<470	<470
Hexenal		<470	<470	<470	<470	<470	<470	<470	<470	<470	حط10		<470	<470	<470	<470	<470		حط 20	470		<470	<470	<470	حط10
Heptanal		<100	<100	4100 4100	×100	4100 *	001×	4100 ×	×100	<100 <	<100		<100	<100	<100	<100	<100		<100	00. √100		<100	<100	<100	<100
Penten-3-ol		218	154	407	672	189	06>	96	369	1501	1610		<90	06>	06>	06>	06°		572	261		91	26	- -	16
Hexanal		230	578	425	×100	×100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100		001>	<100	<100	<100	<100		<100	231		706	1319	1328	1303
Penten-3- one		ş	ş	ଚ୍ଚି	161	405	8	425	175	405	452		06>	DG\$	ଚ୍ଚ	965	06>		151	293		06>	ş	<b>200</b>	<30
Pentanal		<460	<460	<460	4460	<b>4</b> 60	460	<460	<460	<460	<460		<460	×460	09 <b>P</b> >	<460	<460		<460	<460		<460	<460	<460	<460
Ethyl Furan		=	12	87	F	010	01×	16	79	85	28		015	12	¢10	<10	<10		Ę	36		01×	<10	<10	<10
Butanal		40	×40	9	75	40	04°	«40	159	£	79		QV ~40	«40	0b>	<40	<40		79	¢40		9	9	040	<b>04</b> 2
Propenal		550	371	840	1587	326	280	4059	934	3877	3430		Î	ON	QZ	QN	í.		1587	QN		122	130	170	203
Propanal		ě	ę	214	516	134	96	299	296	200	899		8	109	ş	965	96		1992	296		800	965	ê,	69
Description	Standard fish		2	20	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	Fresh fish	11	12	13	14	15	Single cell	16	17	Standard fish distilled	18	19	20	21

[0019] oils. [0020] Again, the above data show that static headspace cannot distinguish between good and bad tasting marine

Tables 1 - 3 also show that marine oils which have been refined very soon after the oil has been extracted

from freshly caught fish do not show better sensory response than oils which have been refined from aged crude fish oil. However, levels of secondary anisidine reactives and aldehydes are extremely low in these fresh oils. These results suggest that whatever is responsible in the marine oil for the fishy taste and smell is present at extremely low levels below the detection limits of static headspace GC/MS. The data also show that neither anisidine nor aldehyde measurements are very useful in predicting the sensory quality of the oil - they are too insensitive.

[0021] Tables 1 - 3 show sensory data for single cell oils which demonstrate that they too can become fishy in both taste and smell. Table 1 also shows that when using specially refined oils it is possible to produce marine oils with excellent taste and smell but with quality parameters such as anisidine, peroxide, iron, copper, color and static headspace values which are not different from those of oils with poor taste and smell.

[0022] In order to have some understanding of the extent of the problem of the occurrence of fishy taste and smell in marine oils, efforts have been made to try and identify and quantify the molecules being responsible for the fishy taste and smell. Marine oils (1 kg each) rich in EPA and/or DHA which had a strong fishy smell were passed slowly through a short path still at 120° C and under reduced pressure (0.005 mbar). Two vacuum traps were connected in series each cooled with liquid nitrogen to collect the fishy volatiles which were removed by this process. These oils were then deodorised at 190° C and are the four specially refined oils recorded in Table 1 -3 as samples 18 - 21. Even though their traditional quality parameters are not different from those oils which were deemed fishy they had only little or no fishy taste. The condensates in the vacuum traps were dissolved in methyl tertiary butyl ether and subjected to olfactory detector GC/MS to identify fishy molecules which had been removed by this process. According to olfactory detector GC/MS the outlet stream from a gas chromatograph is split and routed to two different detectors. In the present case, the detectors used were the mass spectrometer and the human nose. Such a system allows peaks to be identified by the MS and assigned smell comments by an operator.

[0023] A number of very potent smell molecules were identified in the distillates and are recorded in Table 4.

25

30

35

40

45

Table 4

Target molecule	Characteristic according to prior art
4-heptenal	Fish oil
1-octen-3-one	Mushroom
1,5(Z)-octadien-3-ol	Mushroom
1,5(Z)-octadien-3-one	Metallic/fresh fish
(E,E)-2,4-heptadienal	Oxidized oil
(E)-2-octenal	Oxidized oil
(Z)-6-nonenal	Oxidized oil/putty/linseed oil
(E,Z)-2,6-nonadienal	Cucumber/fresh fish
(E)-2-nonenal	Oxidized oil
(E,Z)-1,3,5-undecatriene	Cod liver oil
(E,E)-2,4-decadienal	Fish/oxidized oil

[0024] As can be seen from Table 3, only a few of the above molecules could be identified using static headspace and, thus, a more sensitive method was needed to remove headspace molecules from the oils. The detection limits for e.g. 2-octenal and, respectively, 2,4-hexadienal were 940 ppb and, respectively, 500 ppb. In order to improve the sensitivity of detection, the technique of dynamic headspace has been used. According to this technique, 2 g aliquots of oil have been heated to 75° C in a water bath purged with helium (150 ml/min) through a Tekmar purge glass apparatus onto Perkin Elmer cartridges containing TENAX adsorbent (Enka Research Institute, Arnheim). The dynamic headspace has been measured by GC/MS using a 30m column of DB5-MS (1µm film thickness).

[0025] Table 5 shows the taste panel response to a number of blends of mixtures of marine oils and the dynamic headspace profile of a number of molecules. They have been identified by GC/MS and olfactory detector GC/MS. As can be seen, some of these molecules can be detected to single figure ppb level using dynamic headspace. The importance of the data in Table 5 is that they explain why the data in Table 1 - 3 cannot possibly correlate with marine oil taste and smell and they also demonstrate the very small amount of oxidation which is required before the oil deteriorates to a unacceptable quality from the point of its taste and smell.

7         38         109         116           8         25         78         70           12         41         239         201           4         9         63         56           11         38         159         163           135         4105         6016         3690           13         40         105         111           9         33         84         61           7         32         164         129           11         39         119         91           7         51         177         123
8         26         109         116           12         41         239         201           4         9         63         56           11         38         159         163           1135         4105         6016         3690           13         40         105         111           9         33         84         61           7         32         164         129           11         39         119         91           7         51         177         123
12         41         239         201           4         9         63         56           11         38         159         163           1135         4105         6016         3690           13         40         105         111           9         33         84         61           7         32         164         129           11         39         119         91           7         51         177         123
4         9         63         56           11         38         159         163           1135         4105         5016         3690           13         40         105         111           9         33         84         61           7         32         164         120           11         39         119         91           7         51         177         123
38 159 153 4105 6016 3630 40 105 111 33 84 61 32 164 129 39 119 91 51 177 123
38         159         163           4105         6016         3690           40         105         111           33         84         61           32         164         129           39         119         91           51         177         123
4105         6016         3690           40         105         111           33         84         61           32         164         129           39         119         91           51         177         123
4105     5016     3630       40     105     111       33     84     61       32     164     129       39     119     91       51     177     123
40     105     111       33     84     61       32     164     129       39     119     91       51     177     123
32 84 61 32 164 129 39 119 91 51 177 123
32 164 129 39 119 91 51 177 123
32 164 120 39 119 91 51 177 123
39 119 51 177
39 119 51 177
51 177
51 177
9,7
100

[0026] Table 6 shows the excellent agreement between the level of 6 specially selected molecules in the headspace of the oils and the ranking by the taste panel by using a multiple discriminant analysis. Multiple discriminant analysis (MDA) is a statistical test used to determine whether a given classification of cases into groups is a likely one. It will report whether the group assignment of a case is true or false. The final data are presented in a table with rows and columns corresponding to actual and estimated group membership respectively. In the frame of the present invention the classification obtained from the sensorical evaluation by the taste panel was the taste factor. The MDA analysis was done through a statistical package called UNISTAT version 4.51.

Table 6

10	
,,,	

20

15

25

30

40

35

	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4	Group 5
Group 1	22	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Group 2	0	5	0	0	0
	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Group 3	0	0	6	0	0
	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Group 4	0	0	0	2	0
	0%	. 0%	0%	100%	0%
Group 5	0	0	0	0	1
	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Group					
1	Not fishy				
2	Slight fishy				-
3	Middle fishy				<del></del>
4	Strong fishy				
5	V strong fishy				
Molecule	Retention Index	Comparison to standard retension time	Mass spectrum		
(E)-2-hexenal	861	Yes	Yes		
(Z)-4-heptenal	903	Yes	Yes		
1,5-(Z)-octadien-3-one	986	Yes	Yes		<del></del> ,
(E,E)- 2,4 heptadienal	1004	Yes	Yes		
3,6-nonadienal	1109	No	Yes		
(E,Z)-2,6-nonadienal	1159	Yes	Yes		

[0027] The retention index of a compound is calculated from injections of C5-C15 saturated straight chain hydrocarbons under the same chromatographic conditions as the analysis of interest and is similar to its retention time in that the longer it is retained on a GC column the greater is its retention index/time. The use of the retention indices rather than retention times makes the information more rigorous and transferable although the retention indices are still dependant on the column phase and chromatographic conditions but minimise instrument dependant variables.

[0028] In order for a peak on a GC trace to be accepted as having a certain identity certain conditions must be met. The traditional one with GC is that it should have the same retention index/time as an authentic standard. Of the 6 molecules listed standards were obtained for 5 of them. Alternatively, mass spectra can be used as an additional tool to confirm peak identity.

<sup>50</sup> **[0029]** Table 7 shows the effect of increasing concentration of deodorised rosemary extract on the rancimat stability of a marine oil by adding it after deodorisation.

Table 7

<u> </u>	
Deodorised HERBALOX "O" added	Rancimat Induction Time (100° C)
(%)	(hours)
0	1.70
0.25	3.02
0.5	3.87
0.75	4.93
1.0	5.45
1.5	5.73
2.0	6.98
2.5	7.65
3.0	8.23
3.5	9.28
4.0	10.7

[0030] Table 7 shows that between 0 and 4% addition of rosemary extract, the rancimat induction time and, thus, the rancimat stability of marine oil, increases with an increasing the amount of rosemary extract. Nevertheless, the use of rosemary extract as a stabiliser of marine oil in accordance with the prior art, i.e. after deodorisation, is - even at the low amount of 0.2% - disadvantageous due to the powerful herby smell of the commercial deodorised rosemary extract, particularly if it is put into dairy food applications. This makes it impossible to use the dose benefits shown in Table 7.

[0031] It has now surprisingly been found in accordance with the present invention that adding the rosemary extract to the oil before deodorisation removes the powerful smell without removing or destroying the anti-oxidant activity. The results of the relevant experiments are set forth in Tables 8 and 9.

[0032] Table 8 shows a range of headspace molecules which describe the headspace of deodorised rosemary extract at a concentration of 0.2% added to deodorised marine oil after deodorisation and, respectively, 0.2% and 0.4% added before deodorisation. In the latter case, two deodorisation temperatures are given.

#### Table 8

HERBALOX "O"	0.2%		0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%
Addition	After		Before	Before	Before	Before
	Deodor	isation	deodorisation	deodorisation	deodorisation	deodorisation
Temperature	•		150° C	190° C	150° C	190° C
	% norm	alised/relative	% removed	% removed	% removed	% removed
Limonene	100	/ 4.7	17	20	50	50
Eucalyptol	100	/ 3.5	100	100	100	100
Linalool	100	/ 1.5	100	100	100	100
Linalyl propanoate	100	/ 3.8	100	100	100	100
Camphor	100	/20.3	97	99	100	100
Iso-Borneol	100	/3.8	100	100	93	90
Fenchyl acetate	100	/27.3	100	100	100	100
Vebenone	100	/3.0	100	100	100	100
Bornyl acetate	100	/ 1.2	100	100	100	100
Copaene (1)	100	/ 1.8	100	100	100	100
Ioscaryophyllene	100	/ 0.6	20	20	20	20
Caryophyllene	100	/27.9	84.8	100	100	100
Copaene	100	/ 0.5	100	100	100	100

[0033] The relative values given in column 2 were derived from the analysis of marine oil with 0.2% HERBALOX "O" added after deodorising. When the oils are deodorised it is necessary to have a concentration against which it is possible to measure removal of the headspace molecules. Therefore, the concentration of each compound found in the experiment in which the rosemary extract was added after removal was taken as 100% and the effects of deodorising measured against this level.

[0034] Table 8 shows that when a mineral oil to which 0.2% of rosemary oil was added before decodorisation is deodorised at 150° C or 190° C virtually all of these spicey molecules are removed from the oil. With 0.4% addition, removal of most of the spicey molecules is low, whereby particularly two of the main components, i.e. camphor and caryophyllene, are not completely removed.

[0035] The herby smell in an oil deodorised at 150° C with 0.4% addition of rosemary extract before deodorisation is still strong whereas an oil with only 0.2% rosemary extract added does not have any herby smell.

[0036] Table 9 shows the effect on the anti-oxidant system depending on the deodorisation temperature, anti-oxidant mixture and whether the rosemary is added before or after the deodorisation.

Table 9

Addition	HERBALOX "O"	Sage Extract	Ascorbyl Palmi- tate	Mixed Toco- pherol	Deodorisation Temperature	Rancimat Induc tion Time
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(°C)	(hours)
-	•	-	-	-	•	1.7
After	0.2	-	-	-	-	3.0
After	0.2	-	-	-	150	3.0
After	0.2	-	-	-	190	2.9
Before	0.2	-	-	-	150	3.3

50

45

10

15

20

25

Table 9 (continued)

Addition	HERBALOX "O"	Sage Extract	Ascorbyl Palmi- tate	Mixed Toco- pherol	Deodorisation Temperature	Rancimat Induc- tion Time
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(°C)	(hours)
Before	0.2	•	-	•	190	4.1
Before	0.2	-	0.02	0.1	150	5.4
Before	0.2	•	0.02	0.1	190	6.2
After	-	0.2	•	-	190	2.3
Before	-	0.2	-	-	190	3.4
Before	•	0.2	0.02	0.1	190	5.3

[0037] Adding 0.2% rosemary extract to the marine oil without deodorising, increases the rancimat stability from 1.7 to 3.0 hours at 100° C. The same or about the same rancimat stability is seen when the rosemary extract is added to the oil after deodorising at 150° C and 190° C. An only slightly increased rancimat stability in seen when sage extract is added to the oil after deodorising at 190° C. If the rosemary extract is added to the oil before the deodorisation at 150° C there is a slightly increased rancimat stability but by deodorising at 190° C in the presence of rosmary and, respectively, sage extract the rancimat stability of the oil is increased substantially to 4.1 and, respectively, 3.4 hours. Addition of 0.02% ascorbyl palmitate and 0.1% mixed tocopherol after deodorisation further enhances the rancimat stability of the oil. Thus, by deodorising the oil at 190° C and adding 0.2% rosemary and, respectively, sage extract before the deodorisation followed by 0.02 % ascorbyl palmitate and 0.1% mixed tocopherol after the deodorisation it is possible to increase the rancimat stability of the oil from 1.7 to 6.2 and, respectively, 5.3 hours.

[0038] Accordingly, an object of the present invention is a process for the preparation and stabilization of food-grade marine oil by treating marine oil with silica in the presence or absence of carbon, vacuum steam deodorising at a temperature between about 140° C and about 210° C in the presence of 0.1-0.4% rosemary or sage extract and, if desired, adding 0.01-0.03% ascorbyl palmitate and 0.05-0.2% mixed tocopherol, as well as the use of the oil thus obtained in food applications. A further object of the present invention is a method of determining the sensory quality of a unknown marine oil by measuring the dynamic headspace profile of the marine oil with regard to the 6 following compounds:

(Z)-4-heptenal

(E)-2-hexenal

5

15

35

1,5-(Z)-octadien-3-one

(E,E)-2,4-heptadienal

3,6-nonadienal

(E,Z)-2,6-nonadienal

and evaluating the results abtained against the results of the oils given in Table 5 by multiple discriminant analysis.

[0039] Preferably, the silica treatment is performed in the presence of carbon. The preferred temperature for the deodorisation step lies between 150° C and 190° C, more preferred at about 190° C. The preferred amount of deodorised rosemary or sage extract present during deodorisation is 0.2%. Furthermore, it is preferred to add after deodorisation 0.01-0.03%, preferably 0.02%, ascorbyl palmitate and 0.05-0.2%, preferably 0.1%, mixed tocopherol.

[0040] The following examples illustrate the invention, but do not limit its scope in any manner. The silica and carbon used in the present invention has been described in detail in European Patent Publication 612 346. All oils used had been mixed with 5% silica and 2% activated carbon at 80° C and then filtered as described in European Patent Publication 612 346. The filtered product is called "adsorbed oil" in the examples.

#### 50 Example 1

[0041] 950 g of adsorbed marine oil containing 11.0% EPA and 17.8% DHA were deodorised at 190° C for 2 hours and then cooled to 60° C. The steam was stopped and replaced by a nitrogen purge for 5 minutes. The oil was then divided into aliquots to have additions of HERBALOX "O" up to 4% and was used to provide the rancimat stabilities recorded in Table 7. To a separate aliquot of this oil, 0.2% HERBALOX "O" was added. Results of this study are recorded in Table 9. Samples of this oil were also dynamically purged to measure the content of spicey headspace molecules from the HERBALOX "O" " addition. These results are recorded in Table 8.

#### Example 2

[0042] 950 g of adsorbed marine oil containing 11.0% EPA and 17.8% DHA were deodorised at 150° C for 2 hours then cooled to 60° C. The steam was stopped and replaced by a nitrogen purge for 5 minutes. 0.2% HERBALOX "O" was added to this oil. Results of this study are recorded in Table 9. Samples of this oil were also dynamically purged to measure the content of spicey head-space molecules from the HERBALOX "O" " addition. These results are recorded in Table 8.

#### Example 3

10

[0043] 950 g of adsorbed marine oil containing 11.0% EPA and 17.8% DHA were mixed with 0.2% HERBALOX "O", then deodorised at 190° C for 2 hours and then cooled to 60° C. The steam was stopped and replaced by a nitrogen purge for 5 minutes. The oil was then divided into aliquots to have no addition of further anti-oxidant and, respectively, addition of 0.02% ascorbyl palmitate and 0.1% mixed tocopherol. Rancimat stabilites are recorded in Table 9. Samples of this oil were also dynamically purged to measure the content of spicey headspace molecules from the HERBALOX "O" addition. The results are recorded in Table 8.

#### Example 4

[0044] 950 g of adsorbed marine oil containing 11.0% EPA and 17.8% DHA were mixed with 0.2% HERBALOX "O", then deodorised at 150° C for 2 hours and then cooled to 60° C. The steam was stopped and replaced by a nitrogen purge for 5 minutes. The oil was then divided into aliquots to have no addition of further anti-oxidant and, respectively, addition of 0.02% ascorbyl palmitate and 0.1% mixed tocopherol. Rancimat stabilites are recorded in Table 9. Samples of this oil were also dynamically purged to measure the content of spicey headspace molecules from the HERBALOX "O" addition. The results are recorded in Table 8.

#### Example 5

[0045] 950 g of adsorbed marine oil containing 11.0% EPA and 17.8% DHA were mixed with 0.4% HERBALOX "O", then deodorised at 150° C for 2 hours and then cooled to 60° C. The steam was stopped and replaced by a nitrogen purge for 5 minutes. The oil was then divided into aliquots which were dynamically purged to measure the content of spicey headspace molecules from the HERBALOX "O" addition. The results are recorded in Table 8.

#### Example 6

35

40

[0046] 950 g of adsorbed marine oil containing 11.0% EPA and 17.8% DHA were mixed with 0.4% HERBALOX "O", then deodorised at 190° C for 2 hours and then cooled to 60° C. The steam was stopped and replaced by a nitrogen purge for 5 minutes. The oil was then divided into aliquots which were dynamically purged to measure the content of spicey headspace molecules from the HERBALOX "O" addition. The results are recorded in Table 8.

#### Example 7

[0047] 950 g of adsorbed marine oil containing 11.0% EPA and 17.8% DHA were deodorised at 190° C for 2 hours and then cooled to 60° C. The steam was stopped and replaced by a nitrogen purge for 5 minutes. 0.2% sage extract was added to this oil. Results of this study are recorded in Table 9.

#### Example 8

[0048] 950 g of adsorbed marine oil containing 11.0% EPA and 17.8% DHA were mixed with 0.2% sage extract, then deodorised at 190° C for 2 hours and then cooled to 60° C. The steam was stopped and replaced by a nitrogen purge for 5 minutes. The oil was then divided into aliquots to have no addition of further anti-oxidant and, respectively, addition of 0.02% ascorbyl palmitate and 0.1% mixed tocopherol. Rancimat stabilities are recorded in Table 9.

[0049] The following examples illustrate the use of marine oil obtained in accordance with the present invention in practical food applications. The oil used is hake oil containing 11.0% EPA and 17.8% DHA which was deodorised at 190° C in the presence of 0.2% HERBALOX "O" and will be named in the examples as "ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil".

#### Example 9

#### Soft Drink with 30% juice

#### *5* [0050]

10

Typical serving: 300 ml

n-3 LCPUFA content: 75 mg/serving

	[9]
Part I	
Orange concentrate 60.3° Brix, 5.15% acidity	657.99
Lemon concentrate 43.5° Brix, 32.7% acidity	95.96
Orange flavour, water soluble	13.43
Apricot flavour, water soluble	6.71
Water	26.46
Part II	•
β-Carotene 10% CWS	0.89
Water	67.65
Part III	
Ascorbic acid	4.11
Citric acid anhydrous	0.69
Water	43.18
Part IV	
Stabiliser	1.37
Sodium benzoate	2.74
Water	64.43
Part V	<del></del>
Orange flavour, oil soluble	0.34
Orange oil distilled	0.34
ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil	13.71
Bottling syrup	
Softdrink compound	74.50
Water	50.00
Sugar syrup 60° Brix	150.00

[0051] The bottling syrup was diluted with water to 1 I ready to drink beverage.

Part I: All ingredients were mixed together without incorporation of air.

55 Part II: β-Carotene was dissolved in water.

Part III: Ascorbic acid and citric acid were dissolved in water.

Part IV: Sodium benzoate was dissolved in water. The stabiliser was added under stirring and swollen for 1 hour.

Part V: All ingredients were mixed together.

[0052] All parts were mixed together before homogenisation using first a Turrax and then a high pressure homogenizer ( $p_1 = 200$  bar,  $p_2 = 50$  bar).

[0053] Instead of using sodium benzoate, the beverage may be pasteurised. The beverage may also be carbonised.

#### 10 Example 10

5 cereal bread

[0054]

15

Typical serving: 100 g

n-3 LCPUFA content: 90 mg/serving

20

	[%]
5 cereal flour	100.00
Water	70.00
Yeast	4.00
Salt	2.00
ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil	0.56

30

25

[0055] The yeast was dissolved in a part of the water. All ingredients including ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil were mixed together to form a dough. Salt was added at the end of the kneading time. After fermentation, the dough was reworked and divided before a loaf was formed. Before baking, the surface of the loaf was brushed with water and sprinkled with flour.

Parameters:

[0056]

40

45

50

35

Kneading:	_
Spiral kneading system	4 min 1 <sup>s1</sup> gear
	5 min 2 <sup>nd</sup> gear
Dough proofing:	60 min
Dough temperature:	22 - 24° C
Proofing time:	30 min
Baking:	
Oven:	Dutch type oven
Baking temperature:	250/220° C
Baking time:	50 - 60 min

*55* 

[0057] Estimated baking loss: 10 %.

#### Example 11

#### **Table Margarine**

#### 5 60% fatarine

#### [0058]

Typical serving: 30 g

n-3 LCPUFA content: 225 mg/serving

15 20 25

30

40

45

55

10

	[%]
Fat phase:	1
Sunflower oil	25.220
Mixture of hardened rapeseed, soy, coconut and palm tat	31.175
ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil	3.000
Emulsifier	0.600
Beta-Carotene 30% FS	0.004
Butter flavour, oil soluble.	0.001
Water phase:	
Water	39.858
Salt	0.100
Citric Acid	0.042

#### Fat phase:

35 [0059] The fats were melted, but not exceeding 60° C. The oil was added and kept at the same temperature. Shortly before processing, the ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil was added. Then all other oil soluble ingredients were added to the fat/oil mixture.

#### Water phase:

[0060]

All water soluble ingredients were dissolved in water and pasteurised.

[0061] The water phase was added slowly to the oil phase (50° C) and mixed with a high shear mixer to form a homogeneous emulsion. The emulsion was crystallised in a margarine plant, equipped with a mutator, pinworker and resting tube. The margarine was filled into cups at 20° C and kept cool.

#### Example 12

### **Table Margarine**

50 80% fat

[0062]

Typical serving: 30 g

n-3 LCPUFA content: 225 mg/serving

[%]

5

10

15

20

25

Sunflower oil 30.850 Mixture of hardened rapeseed, soy, coconut and palm fat 45.800 ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil 3.000 **Emulsifier** 0.250 Beta-Carotene 30% FS 0.008 Butter flavour, oil soluble. 0.090 Water phase: Water 19.910 Salt 0.100 Citric Acid 0.005 Butter flavour, water soluble. 0.005

#### Fat phase:

[0063] The fats were melted, but not exceeding 60° C. The oil was added and kept at the same temperature. Shortly before processing, the ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil was added. Then all other oil soluble ingredients were added to the fat-oil mixture.

#### 30 Water phase:

[0064] All water soluble ingredients were dissolved in water and pasteurised.

Fat phase:

[0065] The water phase was added slowly to the oil phase (50° C) and mixed with a high shear mixer to form a homogeneous emulsion. The emulsion was crystallised in a margarine plant, equipped with a mutator, pinworker and resting tube. The margarine was filled into cups at 15° C and kept cool.

#### Example 13

Cookies

40 Type Mailänder

#### [0066]

Typical serving: 25 g

n-3 LCPUFA content: 62.5 mg/serving

50

45

	[9]
Wheat Flour, type 550	410.0
Sugar	205.0
Fat/Butter	195.9
ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil	9.1
Whole egg (liquid)	180.0

#### (continued)

-	[9]
Lemon Flavour	q.s.
Baking agent	q.s.

[0067] The ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil was added to the melted fat. All other ingredients were added slowly under mixing to form a sweet short pastry.

[0068] Afterwards, the pastry was kept cool (4° C) for at least 2 hours before flattening the pastry to a thickness of approx. 5 mm. Pieces were cut out and brushed with egg yolk on the surface before baking.

Baking:		
Oven:	fan oven	
Baking temperature:	180° C	
Baking time:	15 min	

Example 14

**Toast** 

5

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

[0069]

Typical serving: 100 g

n-3 LCPUFA content: 90 mg/serving

	[%]
Wheat Flour, type 550	100.00
Water	60.00
Yeast	5.00
Salt	2.00
Fat/Butter	9.43
ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil	0.57
Malt	1.00
Emulsifier baking agent	2.50

[0070] The yeast was dissolved in a part of the water. All ingredients were mixed together to form a dough including ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil. Salt was added at the end of the kneading time. Afterwards, the dough was reworked, divided and placed in a baking tin for fermentation. After baking, the loaf was unmoulded directly.

#### Parameters:

[0071]

5

10

15

\_\_\_

20

· Kneading: 5 - 6 min 1st gear Spiral kneading system 3 - 4 min 2<sup>nd</sup> gear Dough proofing: none Dough temperature: 22 - 24° C Proofing time: 40 min · Baking: Oven: Dutch type oven Baking temperature: 220° C Baking time: 35 - 40 min

#### Example 15

#### Whole flour biscuits

#### [0072]

Typical serving: 25 g

n-3 LCPUFA content: 125 mg/serving

35

30

40

45

50

[9]
355.0
195.3
18.2
177.5
118.0
130.0
1.0
2.5
2.5
q.s.
q.s.

[0073] The ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil was added to the melted fat. Then all other ingredients were added slowly under mixing to form a sweet short pastry.

[0074] Afterwards the pastry was kept cool (4° C) for at least 2 hours before flattening the pastry to a thickness of approx. 6 mm. Pieces were cut out and brushed with egg yolk on the surface and sprinkled with cane sugar before baking.

Parameters:

[0075]

5

10

15

Baking:
 Oven: fan oven
 Baking temperature: 200° C
 Baking time: 10 min

[0076]

Estimated baking loss 10 %.

Example 16

Yoghurt cake

20 [0077]

Typical serving: 100 g

n-3 LCPUFA content: 250 mg/serving

25

30

35

[g]

Wheat flour 310.0

Sugar incl. Vanilla sugar 240.0

Whole egg (liquid) 200.0

Yoghurt 170.0

Fat/Oil 60.9

Baking agent 10.0

ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil 9.1

[0078] ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil was added to the fat/oil. The yoghurt was mixed with sugar, vanilla sugar and eggs before the addition of the fat/oil containing ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil, the flour and baking agent. The dough was beaten for at least 5 min. at medium speed. The batter was then spread into cake tins and baked in an oven.

Parameters:

[0079]

50

45

Baking:	
Oven:	Fan oven
Baking temperature:	190° C
Baking time:	40 min

#### Example 17

#### **UHT Milk Drink**

5 1.7% fat

[0080]

Typical serving: 300 ml

n-3 LCPUFA content: 150 mg/serving

15

10

20

25

	[%]
Part I	
ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil	0.200
Milk 1.5 % fat	2.580
Part II	
Part I	2.780
Sodium ascorbate	0.025
Milk 1.5 % fat	97.195

#### **Pre-emulsion**

[0081] Part I was mixed together and homogenised in high pressure homogenizer ( $p_1 = 150$  bar,  $p_2 = 50$  bar) to reach an homogeneous emulsion.

#### **UHT-Procedure:**

[0082] Part I was added together with sodium ascorbate to the rest of the milk without incorporation of air. The mix was homogenised in a high pressure homogenizer ( $p_1 = 150$  bar,  $p_2 = 50$  bar) and preheated in a tubular heat exchanger before thermal processing in a direct heat exchanger at 140° C for 4 sec, vacuum-cooling and aseptically packaging.

#### Example 18

Yoghurt - set type

3.5% fat

45 [0083]

Typical serving: 150 g

n-3 LCPUFA content: 225 mg/serving

50

55

	[%]
Full fat milk (3.8% fat)	75.0
Skimmed milk (0.1% fat)	14.9
Skimmed milk powder	2.0

(continued)

	[%]
Sugar	5.0
Yoghurt	2.5
ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil	0.6

[0084] The milk was heated to 35° C before addition of milk powder and sugar. This mixture was heated to 65° C to dissolve all ingredients. ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil was added to the mixture before the homogenisation in a high pressure homogenizer (p1 = 150 bar, p2 = 50 bar) at 65° C. This emulsion was then pasteurised at 80 °C for 20 minutes. After cooling to 45° C natural yoghurt/culture was added and mixed. Then this mixture was filled into cups and fermented at 45° C for 3-4 hours until a pH of 4.3 was reached and then stored at 4° C.

#### 15 <u>Example 19</u>

5

Yoghurt - stirred type

3.5% fat

[0085]

20

25

30

35

40

50

Typical serving: 150 g

n-3 LCPUFA content: 225 mg/serving in yoghurt

	[%]
Full fat milk (3.8% fat)	78.8
Skimmed milk (0.1% fat)	10.8
Skimmed milk powder	2.0
Stabiliser	0.3
Sugar	5.0
Yoghurt	2.5
ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil	0.6

[0086] The milk was heated to 35° C before addition of milk powder, stabiliser and sugar. This mixture was heated to 65° C to dissolve all ingredients before homogenisation in a high pressure homogenizer ( $p_1$  = 150 bar,  $p_2$  = 50 bar) at 65° C. This emulsion was then pasteurised at 80° C for 20 minutes. After cooling to 45° C natural yoghurt/culture was added and mixed, followed by a fermentation at 45° C for 3-4 hours until a pH of 4.3 was reached. After cooling and stirring vigorously, the yoghurt was filled in cups and stored at 4° C.

#### Method A:

[0087] Addition of ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil before homogenisation.

Method B:

[0088] Addition of ROPUFA '30' n-3 Food Oil after fermentation while stirring.

#### 55 Claims

A process for the preparation and stabilization of food-grade marine oil, which process comprises treating marine
oil with silica in the presence or absence of carbon, vacuum steam deodorising at a temperature between about

140° C and about 210° C in the presence of 0.1-0.4% rosemary or sage extract and, if desired, adding 0.01-0.03% ascorbyl palmitate and 0.05-0.2% mixed tocopherol.

- 2. A process according to claim 1, wherein the vacuum steam deodorisation is performed in the presence of rosemary extract.
  - 3. A process according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the silica treatment is performed in the presence of carbon.
- 4. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the temperature for the deodorisation step lies between 150° C and 190° C, preferably at about 190° C.
  - A process according to any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the amount of deodorised rosemary extract present during deodorisation is 0.2%.
- 6. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein 0.01-0.03%, preferably 0.02%, ascorbyl palmitate and 0.05-0.2%, preferably 0.1%, mixed tocopherol is added after deodorisation
  - 7. Use of a marine oil obtained according to any one of claims 1 to 6 for the preparation of food applications.
- 20 8. A method of determining the sensory quality of a unknown marine oil by measuring the dynamic headspace profile of the marine oil with regard to the 6 following compounds:

(Z)-4-heptenal (E)-2-hexenal 1,5-(Z)-octadien-3-one (E,E)-2,4-heptadienal 3,6-nonadienal (E,Z)-2,6-nonadienal

and evaluating the results obtained against the results of the oils given in Table 5 by multiple discriminant analysis.

50

45

25

35

40



# **EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT**

Application Number

ategory	Citation of document w	SIDERED TO BE RELEVANT th indication, where appropriate,	Relevant		
	of relevant	cassages	to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.CL7)	
A	W0 93 10207 A (HC 27 May 1993 (1993 * example 8 * * claims 1,10,15, & EP 0 612 346 A	<del>-</del> 05-27)	1,3,4,6,	, C11B3/10 C11B3/14 C11B5/00 A23D9/00 G01N33/03	
	<pre>% November 1989 ( * page 5, line 36 * page 13: table</pre>	P 0 340 635 A (KABIVITRUM AB) November 1989 (1989-11-08) page 5, line 36 - line 54 * page 13; table 2 * claims 1,2,6-9,11 *			
Contido	compounds contributed by flavors in a support of the AMI SOCIETY., wol. 66, no. 7, 19 (P002129666 AMERICAN OIL CHEMICHAMPAIGN., USISSN: 0003-021X the whole document of the storage of the COURNAL OF AGRICUL HEMISTRY., ol. 44, no. 8, 19 P002129667	ERICAN OIL CHEMISTS'  1989, pages 953-960,  ESTS' SOCIETY.  19th *  Changes in the odorants of cod as affected by raw material *  TURAL AND FOOD  196, pages 2366-2371,  SOCIETY. WASHINGTON., US	1. 1	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.CL7) C11B A23D G01N	
n	he present search report has	been drawn up for all claims			
	sce of search	Date of completion of the assists			
Ti	IE HAGUE	4 February 2000	Deket	Examiner rel, M	
: perticula	GORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS inty relevant if taken alone inty relevant if combined with anot it of the same category	T : theory or principle E : earlier patent docu	underlying the inve ment, but publishe		

EPO FORM 1508 08.82 (P04C01)



# **EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT**

EP 99 12 1655

Category	Citation of document with indication of relevant passages	on, where appropriate,	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.CL7)
A	C. KARAHADIAN ET AL.: deodorizations of fish acidic and basic steam JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY., vol. 67, no. 2, 1990, p XP002129668 AMERICAN OIL CHEMISTS' CHAMPAIGN., US ISSN: 0003-021X * the whole document *	oils with volatile sources" OIL CHEMISTS' ages 85-91,	3	
A	US 5 006 281 A (RUBIN D 9 April 1991 (1991-04-0 * column 4, line 47 - 1 * column 6, line 4 - li * column 9, line 5 - li	9) ine 64 * ne 8 *	1,7	
	and the second			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.CL7)
		·		
	·			
	The present search report has been di			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Dete of completion of the search 4 February 2000	Deke	eirel, M
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS  X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : perticularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written discoure		T: theory or principle u E: earlier patent documenter the filing date D: document cited in ti L: document cited for a 8: member of the sam	inderlying the in ment, but public the application other reasons	wention hed on, or

## ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 99 12 1655

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

04-02-2000

Patent documer cited in search rep		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9310207	A	27-05-1993	AT	123799 T	15-06-199
		•	CA	2123595 A,C	27-05-199
			DE	69202986 D	20-07-199
			DE	69202986 T	02-11-19
			DK	612346 T	07-08-19
			EP	0612346 A	31-08-19
			ES	2073936 T	16-08-199
			FI	942254 A	13-05-199
			JP	2956909 B	04-10-199
			JP	7501355 T	09-02-199
			NO	941808 A	13-05-199
			US	5855944 A	05-01-199
EP 0340635	A	08-11-1989	US	4874629 A	17-10-198
			AT	141637 T	15-09-199
			AU	625415 B	09-07-199
		•	AU	3388289 A	02-11-198
			CA	1335110 A	04-04-199
			DE	68926977 D	26-09-199
			DE	68926977 T	16-01-199
			ES	2091754 T	16-11-199
			GR	3021643 T	28-02-199
			JP	2016195 A	19-01-199
		•	SG	43233 A	17-10-199
	· 		US	5023100 A	11-06-199
US 5006281	- <b>A</b>	09-04-1991	NONE	·	

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

POPIM PO469